THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.24236 of 2004

Dated: 17.08.2006

Between:

P.Vishvavardhan Reddy S/o Mogul Reddy,
R/o D.No.2-3-703/2/A, Sunitha Apartments,
Plat No.13, Tirumala Nagar, Amberpet,
Hyderabad.

...**PETITIONER**

And:

The Deputy Registrar (Academic)
Osmania University, Hyderabad and another.

…**RESPONDENTS**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.24236 of 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner, a student who was admitted to law course during the academic year 1995-96, filed this writ petition questioning the proceedings of the respondent-University, vide proceedings No.2431/C/431/03 Acad II, dated 25.10.2004, whereunder, he was disallowed to continue the L.LB., course.

Though the petitioner was admitted at the first instance in the L.LB., course during the academic year 1995-96, he has appeared for the first year examination in the year 2002, for the first time. He has also appeared for supplementary examinations and wrote some papers, which he did not complete at the first instance. When he was not permitted to pursue the course further, he has earlier filed W.P.No.2664 of 2003, and the same was disposed of directing the first respondent-University to consider the representation of the petitioner

dated 15.09.2003, whereupon, the impugned order is passed.

It is not in dispute that there are academic regulations framed by the University, prescribing that any course shall be completed only within a period of double the period of the course. When this law course is three years, the maximum permissible period for completion of the course by the petitioner, who was admitted in the academic year 1995-96, is upto the academic year 2000-01. In that view of the matter, there is no flaw in the proceedings of the first respondent-University rejecting the claim of the petitioner to continue the course. The impugned order is in consonance with the academic regulations framed by the University under the Universities Act. In that view of the matter, I do not find any illegality in the impugned order, which warrants interference.

The writ petition is devoid of merits, and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

17.08.2006

sh